

MR. ROGERS, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 application, from a technical perspective. And it  
2 resulted in a report of some pages. I don't have it  
3 readily at hand. But his evidence was that that was done  
4 in quite a hurry, with some significant time constraints.  
5 Are you aware of that review that was done?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Was there anything else done by them, other than  
8 that, or by the Federal Government itself, other than  
9 that rather -- not cursory, but it was a time-  
10 restricted --

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. -- review?

13 A. I think that's an important point that you made. It  
14 may have been done -- it may have been done under time  
15 pressures, but it was not a cursory examination. And  
16 they would be the first to confirm that. I think there's  
17 documentation elsewhere in the files that would say that  
18 they had gone back over that ground later, although it  
19 wasn't a formal report to me. There are other documents  
20 that suggest that they had that under examination as much  
21 as a year later.

22 Q. I've had some difficulty in finding anything of any  
23 substance that was a follow-up review. I look down on  
24 your recommendation section here, and I note that you  
25 say, "Federal financial assistance for this project