- 1 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Thank you. All right, we're back at the time frame
- 3 where we were looking at this letter, this is November of
- 4 1988. Would it be consistent with your recollection of
- 5 the sequence of events that shortly after this letter
- 6 there would have been a submission to Cabinet dealing
- 7 with this proposal?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And I assume, and I won't take you to the documents
- 10 because it's a minor point, that the draft submission to
- 11 Cabinet which, are they called "Ministerial
- 12 Recommendations"? MRs?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That a version of it might have been submitted to
- 15 Westray for their review of the wording and that there
- 16 might have been some discussions back and forth as to how
- 17 it should be worded before it was actually submitted to
- 18 Cabinet?
- 19 A. I can't comment on that.
- Q. And that's on the grounds of Cabinet
- 21 confidentiality?
- 22 A. It's on the grounds of Cabinet confidentiality and
- restrictions I'm faced under the Official Secrets Act
- 24 where I can be prosecuted.
- 25 Q. All right. What I will say for the record, and you