

MR. CAMERON, EXAM. BY MR. MERRICK

1 issuing a grandfathering permit. The implication arises  
2 that the permit in '91 was issued as part of an agreement  
3 made in 1988. In other words, a commitment had been made  
4 to Curragh that they would be protected. Can you respond  
5 to that implication?

6 A. No, I can't. It's a shame that you wouldn't provide  
7 the areas you wanted to go in so we could review them and  
8 be more useful to the Commission. Unless you just want,  
9 for your purposes, to make people look bad and you really  
10 don't want the answer.

11 Q. Are you able to refute in any way that implication?

12 A. What implication?

13 Q. The implication that the permit in 1991 was issued  
14 as a result of a commitment made in 1988 by the  
15 Government?

16 A. I have no idea. What I'd like to do is talk to the  
17 Justice lawyers, Commissioner, and find out if this --  
18 I'm hearing from them that this was a general thing that  
19 took place, this grandfathering, for a lot of companies.  
20 And if --

21 COMMISSIONER I'm sure --

22 A. And if that's --

23 COMMISSIONER -- if there's any gaps to be filled, Mr.  
24 Endres can do that with counsel or directly. And --

25 A. So --